ENVIRONMENTAL & REGULATORY SERVICES

PECFA

201 West Washington Avenue P.O. Box 8044 Madison, WI 53708-8044

Brenda J. Blanchard, Secretary

TDD #: (608) 264-8777 Fax #: (608) 267-1381 Tommy G. Thompson, Governor



PECFA number: 53094-0153-31
BRRTS number: 03-28-098990
Site Owner: Jerry Caine

Site Name: Klink Oil Company

Site Address: 1231 Wakoka Street, Watertown, WI

Program contact: Mark F. Putra - DNR Hydrogeologist Program contact address: N7725 STH 28, Horicon, WI 53032

Program contact phone: (920) 387-7867

## **Submitted Questions and Responses**

- 1. Are the tanks and berms still active? If so, how should "hot spot" removal be addressed? I do not know if the tanks are still active as of 05/26/00, but the existing bulk plant will be removed before remediation commences, so it will be possible to excavate in the area.
- 2. Based on the most recent groundwater data, the contaminant plume margin is not defined to the north. Must this also be addressed in our RAP? We felt that additional definition to the north was not needed. Given the bid specifications, i.e. requirement to excavate soil on the American Welding property, we expect the off site plume just over the northern property boundary will quickly recede to the property boundary once the source control effort is completed. Yes, it should be mentioned in your bid response and RAP.
- 3. How much free product thickness was measured in MW1 and MW2, during the May 1998 sampling event? A film was noted in MW1 and MW2 and this was consistent with historic test results. Between August 1998 and February 2000 the owner bailed MW1 and MW2 twenty four times and regularly found low levels of free product.
- 4. Which tank leaked? The tank that initiated the emergency response is immediately north of the extraction pit shown in Figure 6.0 of the Baumgartner Environics Site Investigation. That does not necessarily mean the other nine ASTs have not leaked.
- 5. The "Upgradient" extent of contamination, toward American Welding, is not defined. What is the Department's position on this? Since MW-9 has the highest benzene concentration, along with the west sump, is the Department satisfied that the source(s) has been fully defined? See the response for question #2 above.